

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,852	09/22/2003	Bruce Wallman	CHA920030022US1	1382
23550 7590 05/09/2007 HOFFMAN WARNICK & D'ALESSANDRO, LLC 75 STATE STREET 14TH FLOOR ALBANY, NY 12207			EXAMINER	
			TOLENTINO, RODERICK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TEDITIVI, IVI 12207			2134	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

4,14	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/667,852	WALLMAN, BRUCE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Roderick Tolentino	2134				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09/22	2/2003.					
· <del></del>						
·— · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		·				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 22 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal I	ate				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/22/2003, 4/19/2007</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/667,852 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

### DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 – 16 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1 4, 6 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sasmazel et al. U.S. Patent No. (6,725,376).
- 4. As per claims 1 and 11, Sasmazel discloses a logical security system for processing login and password data received from a client device during a server session in order to authenticate a user (Sasmazel, Col. 8 Lines 21 30, user authentication with user id and password) and a physical security system for processing Internet protocol (IP) address information of the client device in order to authenticate the client device for the duration of the server session (Sasmazel, Claims 1 and 4, IP Address used as authentication information).
- 5. As per claim 2 Sasmazel discloses a memory system for storing a list of each logged in user and a reference IP address collected during a login procedure (Sasmazel, Claim 4, IP Address used as authentication information).

Art Unit: 2134

6. As per claim 3, Sasmazel discloses wherein the physical security system compares the IP address of a received message with the reference IP address for the user (Sasmazel, Claim 4, IP Address used as authentication information).

- 7. As per claim 4, Sasmzael discloses wherein the physical security system terminates the session for the user if the IP address obtained from the received message does not match the reference IP address for the logged in user (Col. 9 Lines 29 35, rejection from web server).
- 8. As per claim 6, Sasmazel discloses the physical security system includes a proxy server module for comparing a portion of an IP address obtained from a received message against a like portion of the reference IP address for the logged in user (Sasmazel, Col. 9 Lines 9 15, checks validity of eticket).
- 9. As per claim 7, Sasmazel discloses storing in a memory system a reference Internet protocol (IP) address and associated login data whenever a new server session is initiated on the server from a client device (Sasmazel, Col. 7 Lines 59 67, Obtains IP address of user), receiving a message from a requesting user; obtaining login data accompanying the message, obtaining an IP address from a message header in the message, determining if the login data of the requesting user is currently listed in the memory system as an existing session with the server; and if the login data of the requesting user is currently listed, determining if the IP address from the received message matches the reference IP address associated with the login data of the requesting user (Sasmazel, Claims 1 and 4, IP Address used as authentication information).

Application/Control Number: 10/667,852

Art Unit: 2134

- 10. As per claim 8, Sasmazel discloses initiating a login procedure if the login data of the requesting user is not currently listed in the memory system (Sasmazel, Col. 8 Lines 21 30, initiating by obtaining user authentication with user id and password).
- 11. As per claim 9, Sasmazel discloses the further step of terminating all server sessions listed in the memory system having the login data of the requesting user if the IP address from the obtained message does not match the reference IP address (Col. 9 Lines 29 35, rejection from web server).
- 12. As per claim 10, Sasmazel discloses examining a portion of the IP address of the requesting user; and determining if the portion matches a like portion of the reference IP address (Sasmazel, Col. 9 Lines 9 15, checks validity of eticket).
- 13. As per claim 12, Sasmazel discloses a memory system for storing a list of each logged in user and a respective reference IP address collected during a login procedure (Sasmazel, Col. 2 Lines 33 40, storage devices for user tickets).
- 14. As per claim 13, Sasmazel discloses the means for processing IP address information compares a login name and IP address of a received message against the list of logged in users and their respective reference IP addresses (Sasmazel, Col. 9 Lines 9 15, checks validity of eticket, which contains user id password and IP address).
- 15. As per claim 14, Sasmazel discloses the means for processing IP address information terminates the session for the user if the IP address obtained from the received message does not match the reference IP address for the logged in user stored in the list (Col. 9 Lines 29 35, rejection from web server).

Art Unit: 2134

16. As per claim 16, Sasmazel discloses the means for processing IP address information includes a proxy server module for comparing a portion of an IP address obtained from a received message against a like portion of the reference IP address for the logged in user (Sasmazel, Col. 9 Lines 9 – 15, checks validity of eticket).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 17. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 18. Claims 5 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasmazel et al. U.S. Patent No. (6,725,376) in view of Muratov et al. U.S PG-Publication No. (2003/0097596).
- 19. As per claims 5 and 15, Sasmazel teaches comparing IP addresses thru comparing authentication information transmitted and checking to see if the information including IP addresses match (Sasmazel, Col. 9 Lines 9 15, checks validity of eticket), but fails to teach deleting all instances of the logged in user. However, in an analogous art Muratov teaches deleting all instances of the logged in user (Muratov, Paragraph 0015).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to use Muratov's system for protecting data with Sasmazel's method of using electronic ticket and

Application/Control Number: 10/667,852 Page 6

Art Unit: 2134

distributed server because it offers the advantage of protecting data from unauthorized access (Muratov, Paragraph 0017).

### Conclusion

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roderick Tolentino whose telephone number is (571) 272-2661. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Zand can be reached on (571) 272-3811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Roderick Tolentino Examiner Art Unit 2134

Roderick Tolentino